INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE REPORT

Comparison of the Solder SentryTM and the Weller Smoke AbsorberTM August 2010

For: Sentry Air Systems, Inc.

Attn.: Omar Ilsever, Sales Manager

6999 W. Little York, Ste. P1

Houston, TX 77040

Prepared by: Robert F. Adams

RF ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

12111 Millstream Way

Houston, TX 77041

Date of test: July 28, 2010

Control of Solder Fume and other Particulates

Introduction: Soldering operations are commonly used in the electronics industry to secure electronic parts to printed circuit boards. Typically, the parts are brought into contact with heated solder and flux at temperatures sufficient to melt the solder and make a satisfactory joint. At temperatures of about 500 degrees F or higher, emissions from the flux, solder and circuit board are produced that are irritating and potentially harmful to the operator and others in the vicinity. It is highly desirable to capture these emissions and prevent them from contaminating the workplace air.

Purpose: The purpose of this project was to compare the efficiency of the Sentry Air Systems *SS Solder Sentry™* and the Weller *Smoke Absorber™* in collecting and removing emissions evolved during typical soldering operations.

Discussion: Lead-free solder is commonly used in the electronics industry. A typical solder employed in the industry (and which was used in this project) is Lenox WS 15030, 0.062" or 1.5mm diameter solder with rosin core. According to the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS), this solder is composed of 97% tin and 3% copper by weight with a rosin core. When rosin core solder is heated above 200 degrees C, it emits a fume containing a mixture of finely dispersed particulate matter and gas/vapor. Initial tests showed that the fume did not contain copper, tin or detectible aldehydes (as formaldehyde). The specific products that are believed to be responsible for adverse health effects (asthma-like symptoms and sensitization effects) are primarily attributed to particulates that can be caught on a membrane filter and analyzed by gas chromatography. This concept and sampling method were published in "Workplace Exposure to Rosin-based Solder Flux Fume During Hand Soldering", by I. Pengelly, et. al. [Ann.Occup. Hyg., Vol. 42, No. 5, pp. 295-302, (1998), © 1998 British Occupational Hygiene Society]. These potentially harmful particulates were present in this test; therefore, we directed our attention to filter efficiency (inlet vs. outlet) regarding the capture and removal of airborne particulate matter generated during soldering. In the work reported herein, only the weight of the particulates collected on PVC filters was measured and reported.

Experimental: The SS Solder Sentry[™] unit was modified by attaching a 10-inch extension of 8" diameter duct to the inlet and outlet in order to allow the fume from the soldering operation to better mix before sampling. A small hole was made in each duct to permit the insertion of a short piece of ¼" Tygon tubing to deliver the air sample to a pre-weighed PVC sampling cassette. The soldering iron was operated at 700 degrees F and a total of 0.7 oz. of solder was used during the 16 minute sampling period. Air samples were collected from the inlet and outlet of the SS Solder Sentry[™], using battery-powered sampling pumps calibrated to draw a flow rate of approximately 2 liters/minute. Measurements of airborne particulates were also made in the room during the soldering operation using a Particle Scan Pro[™].

When the sampling was completed, the soldering operation was repeated using as nearly identical conditions as before, and substituting the Weller *Smoke Absorber*™ for the *SS Solder Sentry*™ machine. Similar modifications were made to the Weller machine to facilitate fume capture and mixing, as well as ease of air sample collection. Again, soldering was conducted using 0.7 oz. of Lenox (Leadfree), WS 15030, 0.062" or 1.5mm diameter solder with rosin core during a 16 minute period.

Table I
SAS Solder Sentry™ vs. Weller Smoke Absorber™

Sample	Sample	Total Dust,	%
#	Description	mg	Removal
RFA-	Solder Sentry™		
53251	Inlet	0.15	
RFA-	Solder Sentry™		
47394	Outlet	< 0.01	95.3 ²
RFA-	Smoke		
52468	Absorber™ Inlet	0.18	
RFA-	Smoke		
52359	Absorber™ Outlet	0.16	11.1
RFA-			
52147	Blank	<0.01mg	

The concentration of ambient airborne particles in the room was monitored while the soldering operations were being conducted, using the Particle Scan Pro™. The results are shown in Table II.

Table II

SAS Solder Sentry™ Weller Smoke Absorber™

Time, Min.	# Particles per cu. ft. x 10 ⁶	# Particles per cu. ft. x 10 ⁶
0.00	0.647	2.040
3.00	5.897	45.648
5.00	7.220	58.289
7.00	7.570	63.050
10.00	7.500	66.303
12.00	6.639	67.633
15.00	8.572	66.201
16.00	16.731*	63.431
17.00	5.148	60.208
18.00	4.675	56.777

^{*}This value was considered to be an anomaly since it was taken at the end of the sampling when soldering had been completed and the circuit board was moved nearer the Particle Scan Pro™.

Results and Conclusions:

1. The Sentry Air Systems "SS Solder Sentry™" unit did an excellent job of removing fume and other particles generated during soldering operations. The HEPA (high efficiency particulate) filter that is featured in the "SS Solder Sentry™" unit is designed to remove particles as small as 0.3 microns in diameter. The room air was free of noticeable odor and irritants from the soldering.

- 2. The Weller "Smoke Absorber™" unit did a poor job of removing particles generated by soldering operations as evidenced by the sampling results and the presence of objectionable levels of odor and eye and throat irritants in the room air.
- 3. The particle count measurements made by the Particle Scan Pro™ during the soldering operations showed a 4-fold buildup of particles during the operation of the Weller unit as compared to the particle counts measured during the operation of the Sentry Air Systems unit. After completion of the soldering test with the SAS unit, particle counts diminished rapidly, indicating that the ambient air was being cleaned by the operation of the HEPA filter.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please contact me at 713-983-7910 or by e-mail at BobCIH@aol.com.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert F. Adams

RF Adams & Associates, Inc.

¹MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheet) for the solder used in this project can be found at: http://complyplus.grainger.com/grainger/msds.asp?sheetid=2986303

² A recommended method of handling data values which are log-normal in nature and which are below the limit of detection is to use the number obtained by dividing the "less than" value by the square root of 2. For discussion of this subject see "<u>Estimation of Average Concentration in the Presence of Nondetectable Values</u>", [Richard W. Hornung and Laurence D. Reed, Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 5(1), January 1990, pp. 46-51].